

Practical Reversibility of HCN Synthesis by Electric Discharge  
in CH<sub>4</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> Mixture


Kazunori TANAKA,\* Toshiki MORIO,+ and  
Yuzuru TAKAGI†

The Institute of Physical and Chemical Research (RIKEN),  
2-1, Hirosawa, Wako, Saitama 351-01

† College of Humanities and Sciences, Nihon University,  
Sakurajosui, Setagaya, Tokyo 156

Electric discharge in CH<sub>4</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> mixture yielded HCN and H<sub>2</sub> as main products, accompanied by transient formation of C<sub>2</sub>- and C<sub>3</sub>- hydrocarbons. The practical reversibility of this HCN synthesis has been demonstrated by obtaining CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub> by electric discharge in HCN/H<sub>2</sub> mixture.

The main purpose of this work was to provide another example of reversible discharge reactions, like the previously reported CO<sub>2</sub> decomposition.<sup>1)</sup> It is already known that a mixture of CH<sub>4</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> is converted into HCN and H<sub>2</sub> by arc discharge<sup>2)</sup> or microwave discharge.<sup>3)</sup> HCN is also produced by the reaction of active nitrogen with hydrocarbons.<sup>4,5)</sup> As far as we know, however, nobody has directed his attention to the backward process of these reactions. The discharge reaction in CH<sub>4</sub>/N<sub>2</sub> may be stoichiometrically written as



Here we provide direct evidence for the reversible nature of this reaction. By term "reversible" is not meant the thermodynamic reversibility, but it simply means that the reverse reaction is not negligible.

The closed circulating reaction system used previously for CO<sub>2</sub> discharge decomposition was again employed in this study, with minor modifications: the system volume was variable in a range 358-558 cm<sup>3</sup> instead of the previous 432-632 cm<sup>3</sup>, and the previously attached Al electrodes (6 cm apart) was replaced by stainless steel ones (2 cm apart). The high voltage needed for electric discharge was generated from the 50-cycle a-c output of a neon-sign transformer. The forward reaction was started out with a 2:1 mixture of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>, and the backward reaction with a 2:3 mixture of HCN and H<sub>2</sub>.

Figure 1 shows the discharge reaction profiles obtained with a pair of the forward (run 6) and backward (run 7) reactions conducted at almost a constant total pressure of 60 Torr (1 Torr = 133,322 Pa). Apparently, the main gaseous products in the forward reaction are HCN and H<sub>2</sub>, and those in the backward reaction are CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>. This provides unequivocal evidence for the reversibility of discharge reaction (1). Furthermore, the final gas compositions of both runs




Fig. 1. Reaction profiles for reaction (1) and its reverse: partial pressures vs. discharge time.

Discharge voltage and discharge current toward the end of reaction were 1560 V and 7.1 mA for run 6, and 1730 V and 7.0 mA for run 7.

are similar to each other, indicating the closeness to the equilibrium composition. This reversibility was also seen when we went down to a total pressure of 30 Torr.

Two types of side reactions occur; (i) the transient formation of  $\text{C}_2$ - and  $\text{C}_3$ -hydrocarbons as shown in Figs. 1B and 1D, and (ii) the carbon deposition on the electrodes and glass walls. A small amount of hydrogen and nitrogen may be occluded in the deposited carbon. At first glance it would seem peculiar that in Fig. 1C the  $\text{H}_2$  pressure increases slowly after a shallow minimum, but this is not unreasonable. Two factors serve to enhance the  $\text{H}_2$  pressure. One is the  $\text{H}_2$  release accompanying the carbon deposition from HCN. The other is artificial: the drop of the  $\text{H}_2$  pressure due to the backward reaction is partially compensated by reducing the reaction volume so as to keep the total pressure constant.

In conclusion it is re-emphasized that little doubt is left about the reversibility of discharge reaction (1) although it is accompanied by side reactions.

#### References

- 1) K. Tanaka and D. W. Gao, *Chem. Lett.*, **1987**, 1587.
- 2) E. Briner and H. Hofer, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, **23**, 1054 (1940).
- 3) A. Kazusaka and A. Yamazaki, private communication.
- 4) H. G. V. Evans, G. R. Freeman, and C. A. Winkler, *Can. J. Chem.*, **34**, 1271 (1956).
- 5) D. R. Safrany, *Progress in Reaction Kinetics*, **6**, 1 (1972).

(Received June 22, 1988)